

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No.

PCT/JP2004/010986

A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER
Int.Cl.⁷ H04L29/02

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

B. FIELDS SEARCHED

Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)
Int.Cl.⁷ H04L29/02

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched

Jitsuyo Shinan Koho	1926-1996	Toroku Jitsuyo Shinan Koho	1994-2004
Kokai Jitsuyo Shinan Koho	1971-2004	Jitsuyo Shinan Toroku Koho	1996-2004

Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practicable, search terms used)

C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
A	JP 2003-125018 A (Sony Corp.), 25 April, 2003 (25.04.03), Full text; all drawings (Family: none)	1-3
A	JP 2003-529978 A (Nortel Network Ltd.), 07 October, 2003 (07.10.03), Full text; all drawings & WO 2001/054337 A1 & AU 200130424 A & EP 1264435 A1 & BR 200107704 A & CN 1418418 A	4-15

☐ Further documents are listed in the continuation of Box C.

☐ See patent family annex.

* Special categories of cited documents:

"A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance

"E" earlier application or patent but published on or after the international filing date

"L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)

"O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means

"P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed

"T" later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention

"X" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone

"Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art

"&" document member of the same patent family

Date of the actual completion of the international search
07 October, 2004 (07.10.04)

Date of mailing of the international search report
26 October, 2004 (26.10.04)

Name and mailing address of the ISA/
Japanese Patent Office

Authorized officer

Facsimile No.

Telephone No.

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No.

PCT/JP2004/010986

Box No. II Observations where certain claims were found unsearchable (Continuation of item 2 of first sheet)

This international search report has not been established in respect of certain claims under Article 17(2)(a) for the following reasons:

1. ☐ Claims Nos.:
because they relate to subject matter not required to be searched by this Authority, namely:
2. ☐ Claims Nos.:
because they relate to parts of the international application that do not comply with the prescribed requirements to such an extent that no meaningful international search can be carried out, specifically:
3. ☐ Claims Nos.:
because they are dependent claims and are not drafted in accordance with the second and third sentences of Rule 6.4(a).

Box No. III Observations where unity of invention is lacking (Continuation of item 3 of first sheet)

This International Searching Authority found multiple inventions in this international application, as follows:

When the invention of claim 1 relating to "a radio packet communication method" is compared to the invention of claim 4 relating to "a radio packet communication method", the technical feature common to them is "a radio packet communication method for transmitting a data packet of a special format prepared by cut-and-pasting data frames between radio stations". This common feature is disclosed in various documents and makes no contribution over the prior art. Accordingly, this common feature cannot be a special technical feature within the meaning of PCT Rule 13.2, second sentence.
(Continued to extra sheet)

1. ☒ As all required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers all searchable claims.
2. ☐ As all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fee, this Authority did not invite payment of any additional fee.
3. ☐ As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.:
4. ☐ No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this international search report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.:

Remark on Protest ☐ The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest.
☒ No protest accompanied the payment of additional search fees.

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No.

PCT/JP2004/010986

Continuation of Box No.III of continuation of first sheet (2)

Moreover, when the invention of claim 1 relating to "the radio packet communication method" is compared to the invention of claim 11 relating to "the radio packet communication method", the technical feature common to them is "a radio communication packet communication method for transmitting a data packet of a special format prepared by cut-and-pasting data frames between radio stations". This common feature is disclosed in various documents and makes not contribution over the prior art. Accordingly, this common feature cannot be a special technical feature within the meaning of PCT Rule 13.2, second sentence.

Consequently, there exists no feature common to all the claims.

Since there exists no other common feature which can be considered as a special technical feature within the meaning of PCT Rule 13.2, second sentence, no technical relationship within the meaning of PCT Rule 13 between the different inventions can be seen. Accordingly, it is obvious that claims 1-15 do not satisfy the requirement of unity of invention.

It should be noted that this international application includes two groups of inventions: a first group of inventions - claims 1-3; and a second group of inventions - claims 4-10, 11-15.

BEST AVAILABLE COPY